

A Physical Realization of the Generalized PT -, C -, and CPT -Symmetries and the Position Operator for Klein-Gordon Fields

Ali Mostafazadeh*

Department of Mathematics, Koç University,
Rumelifeneri Yolu, 34450 Sariyer,
Istanbul, Turkey

Abstract

Generalized parity ($\mathcal{P}$), time-reversal ($\mathcal{T}$), and charge-conjugation ($\mathcal{C}$) operators were initially defined in the study of the pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians. We construct a concrete realization of these operators for Klein-Gordon fields and show that in this realization $\mathcal{PT}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ operators respectively correspond to the ordinary time-reversal and charge-grading operations. Furthermore, we present a complete description of the quantum mechanics of Klein-Gordon fields that is based on the construction of a Hilbert space with a relativistically invariant, positive-definite, and conserved inner product. In particular we offer a natural construction of a position operator and the corresponding localized and coherent states. The restriction of this position operator to the positive-frequency fields coincides with the Newton-Wigner operator. Our approach does not rely on the conventional restriction to positive-frequency fields. Yet it provides a consistent quantum mechanical description of Klein-Gordon fields with a genuine probabilistic interpretation.

1 Introduction

Since the formulation of quantum mechanics (QM) in the 1920s, there has been an ongoing search for its generalizations. Among the early examples of such a generalization is Pauli's attempts to formulate a quantum mechanical theory based on a vector space with an indefinite inner product [1]. This was originally motivated by Dirac's observation that such theories

*E-mail address: amostafazadeh@ku.edu.tr

would ease handling the infinities arising in quantum electrodynamics [2]. QM with an indefinite metric has been thoroughly studied in the 1960s [3]. Another more recent attempt at a generalization of QM is so-called *PT*-Symmetric QM [4].

The interest in *PT*-symmetric QM relies on an appealing idea due to Bender and a set of rather surprising spectral properties of certain non-Hermitian but *PT*-symmetric Hamiltonians of the standard form: $H = p^2 + V(x)$.¹ Bender's idea concerned the possibility that one might be able to generalize the standard local quantum field theory by replacing the postulate of the Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian by the supposedly more general requirement of its *CPT*-symmetry. (0 + 1)-dimensional examples of such a field theory are provided by the *PT*-symmetric QM in which one allows the Hamiltonian to be non-Hermitian but requires that it commutes with *PT*. The study of *PT*-symmetric quantum systems gained some valid ground once it became clear that the spectrum of some simple *PT*-symmetric Hamiltonians, such as $H = p^2 + ix^3$, was entirely real and positive, [5].

During the past seven years, there have appeared dozens of publications on *PT*-symmetric QM. Most of these examined specific toy models [6], but some attempted to address the more fundamental issues such as that of the nature of the space of state vectors [7] – [15]. Among the latter is a series of articles [8] – [15] by the present author that aim at providing a mathematically sound framework for describing *PT*-symmetric QM and its physical interpretation [16]. The results reported in these articles stem from the rather simple observation that, similarly to the Hermitian Hamiltonians, the *PT*-symmetric Hamiltonians constitute a subclass of the so-called pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians. The latter are linear operators H acting in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'$ — which is generally different from the physical Hilbert space — and satisfying

$$H^\dagger = \eta H \eta^{-1} \quad (1)$$

for some invertible Hermitian operator $\eta : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$. Here $H^\dagger$ denotes the adjoint of H defined using the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'$. The operator η defines a possibly indefinite inner product, namely

$$\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_\eta := \langle \cdot, \eta \cdot \rangle. \quad (2)$$

As a consequence, it is sometimes called a ‘metric operator’. The main property of (2) is that H is Hermitian with respect to this inner product, i.e., $\langle\langle \cdot, H \cdot \rangle\rangle_\eta = \langle\langle H \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_\eta$.

For a given pseudo-Hermitian operator H , the metric operator η is not unique. If a particular choice for η is made, then H is called η -pseudo-Hermitian. The standard *PT*-symmetric models studied in the literature are *P*-pseudo-Hermitian [8]. See also [12, 17].

It turns out that, under quite general conditions, the pseudo-Hermiticity of H is equivalent to the existence of antilinear symmetries of H , *PT*-symmetry being the primary example [10, 18, 19]. Furthermore, pseudo-Hermiticity of H is equivalent to the condition that either the spectrum of H is real or its complex eigenvalues come in complex-conjugate pairs. The study

¹Here P and T are respectively the parity and time-reversal operators defined in the space of all complex-valued functions $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $(P\psi)(x) := \psi(-x)$ and $(T\psi)(x) := \psi(x)^*$. The latter relation defines T as an antilinear operator whose action should not be viewed as a change of sign of t .

of pseudo-Hermitian operators also provides a characterization of the non-Hermitian (diagonalizable) Hamiltonians that have a real spectrum. These correspond to the subclass of pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians that are η_+ -pseudo-Hermitian with respect to some positive-definite² metric operator η_+ . In this case H is Hermitian with respect to a genuine positive-definite inner product³, namely

$$\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\eta_+} := \langle \cdot, \eta_+ \cdot \rangle. \quad (3)$$

If H does not have a real spectrum or if it is not diagonalizable, the set of all metric operators η satisfying (1) does not include any positive-definite elements and such a positive-definite inner product does not exist [13, 19].

It can also be shown that whenever H is a diagonalizable operator with a real spectrum, then it can be mapped to a Hermitian operator via a similarity transformation [9], i.e., it is quasi-Hermitian [20]. Quasi-Hermitian Hamiltonians form a proper subset of pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians which allow for the formulation of a probabilistic quantum theory. This is done by identifying the physical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with the (Cauchy completion [21] of the) span of the eigenvectors of H (i.e., the underlying vector space associated with the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'$) endowed with the inner product $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\eta_+}$, [21, 22]. By construction, H will be a Hermitian (densely-defined) operator acting in $\mathcal{H}$. Similarly the observables of the theory are the Hermitian operators acting in $\mathcal{H}$. For concrete examples see [16].

The reality of the spectrum of H is also equivalent to the presence of an exact antilinear symmetry of the system. Therefore, a diagonalizable Hamiltonian having an exact antilinear symmetry, e.g., PT -symmetry, is quasi-Hermitian. In particular, it may be mapped to a Hermitian Hamiltonian H' via a similarity transformation⁴ $H \rightarrow H' = \mathcal{U}H\mathcal{U}^{-1}$ where the operator $\mathcal{U}$ satisfies $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\eta_+} = \langle \mathcal{U} \cdot, \mathcal{U} \cdot \rangle$, i.e., $\mathcal{U}$ is a unitary operator mapping $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{H}'$. This shows that indeed the quantum system defined by the Hamiltonian H and the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ may as well be described by the Hermitian Hamiltonian H' and the original Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'$, [14].

In an attempt at devising a probabilistic interpretation for quantum systems with Hamiltonians having exact PT -symmetry, Bender and his collaborators have introduced a generic symmetry of these Hamiltonians that they term as the ‘charge-conjugation’ symmetry [24]. Using the generator C of this symmetry, they were able to introduce a positive-definite inner product that they called the CPT -inner product. As shown in [15, 25] the CPT -inner product is just a particular example of the inner product (3) that was originally constructed in Refs. [9, 10, 11].

The description of the ‘charge conjugation’ operator C of the PT -symmetric QM [24] provided by the theory of pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians and the fact that general pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonians have generic antilinear symmetries [10, 18, 19] raise the natural ques-

²A positive-definite operator is an invertible positive operator. Alternatively, it is a self-adjoint operator with a strictly positive spectrum.

³The positive-definiteness of an inner product $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle$ means that $\langle\langle \psi, \psi \rangle\rangle \in \mathbb{R}^+$ if and only if $\psi \neq 0$.

⁴This applies for the cases that H has time-independent eigenvectors. Throughout this article we will only consider time-independent Hamiltonians.

tion whether one could associate to a general pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian a linear symmetry generator $\mathcal{C}$ and an antilinear symmetry generator $\mathcal{PT}$ that would respectively generalize C and PT . This question is answered in [15].⁵ It turns out that for a given diagonalizable pseudo-Hermitian Hamiltonian H one may introduce generalized parity ($\mathcal{P}$), time-reversal ($\mathcal{T}$) and charge-conjugation ($\mathcal{C}$) operators and establish the $\mathcal{PT}$ -, $\mathcal{C}$ -, and $\mathcal{CPT}$ -symmetries of H , [15]. It must be noted that the use of the term ‘charge-conjugation’ in the above discussions solely rests on the fact that similarly to the ordinary charge-conjugation operator of relativistic QM, $\mathcal{C}$ is a Hermitian involution, i.e., $\mathcal{C}^\dagger = \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^{-1}$. It is important to note that because $\mathcal{C}$ is a linear operator, it is actually a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading operator for the Hilbert space [26].

The question whether $\mathcal{C}$ has anything to do with the well-known charge-conjugation operation of relativistic QM is the principal goal of the present paper. We will achieve this goal by constructing a concrete realization of the abstract notions of generalized parity, time-reversal, and charge-conjugation operations for Klein-Gordon fields. This will in turn allow us to offer an application of the theory of pseudo-Hermitian operators in addressing one of the oldest problems of modern physics concerning relativistic position operators and localized states [27].

The problem of constructing a consistent quantum mechanical formulation of Klein-Gordon fields has been the focus of attention since the early days of QM. There are numerous publications on the subject most of which are based on the restriction to positive-frequency fields [28, 29, 30]. A notable exception is a series of papers by Gitman and his collaborators [31, 32] which were brought to our attention while we were writing up the present paper.⁶ In [31, 32] the authors base their investigation on a careful treatment of the underlying classical system and perform a constraint canonical quantization of this system. In particular, they identify a discrete classical degree of freedom ζ which takes the values $+1$ and -1 . Quantization of ζ leads to a quantum observable $\hat{\zeta}$ which satisfies $\hat{\zeta}^2 = 1$ and plays a key role in the approach of [31, 32]. We will elaborate on the relationship between this approach and ours in Section 4. Here we suffice to mention that ζ arises in our treatment as the classical counterpart of the generalized charge-conjugation operator $\mathcal{C}$.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present a two-component formulation of the Klein-Gordon equation, establish the pseudo-Hermiticity and quasi-Hermiticity of the corresponding two-component matrix Hamiltonian, construct a positive-definite metric operator and the corresponding inner product, discuss the relationship between the one- and two-component fields and review some of the relevant results reported in [34, 35]. In Section 3, we compute $\mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{T}$, and $\mathcal{C}$ for the two-component Klein-Gordon fields and elaborate on the $\mathcal{PT}$ -, $\mathcal{C}$ - and $\mathcal{CPT}$ -symmetries of the corresponding Hamiltonian. We then define and study generalized parity, time-reversal, and charge-conjugation operators for the ordinary single-component Klein-Gordon fields. In Section 4, we introduce and study position wave functions for the Klein-Gordon fields. In Section 5, we discuss the position and momentum operators and the relativistic localized and coherent states. Finally in Section 6, we present a summary of our

⁵See also [23] where the same question has been considered.

⁶See also [33].

main results and our concluding remarks.

Throughout this article we shall use a unit system in which $c = 1$.

2 Pseudo-Hermiticity and Klein-Gordon Fields

Consider the Klein-Gordon equation

$$[\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2 + \mu^2]\psi(t, \vec{x}) = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $\mu := m/\hbar$ and m is the mass of the field. Suppose that, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi(t, \vec{x})$ is square-integrable: $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x |\psi(t, \vec{x})|^2 < \infty$. Then we can express (4) as a ‘dynamical equation’ in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, namely

$$[\partial_t^2 + D]\psi(t) = 0, \quad (5)$$

where for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $\psi(t) : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by $\psi(t)(\vec{x}) := \psi(t, \vec{x})$, belongs to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and $D : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is the Hermitian operator

$$[D\psi(t)](\vec{x}) := [-\nabla^2 + \mu^2]\psi(t, \vec{x}), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \forall \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3. \quad (6)$$

It is well-known [36, 28, 29, 37] that one can express the Klein-Gordon equation (5) as the two-component Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \Psi(t) = H \Psi(t), \quad (7)$$

where for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\Psi(t) := \begin{pmatrix} \psi(t) + i\lambda\dot{\psi}(t) \\ \psi(t) - i\lambda\dot{\psi}(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

$$H := \frac{\hbar}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda D + \lambda^{-1} & \lambda D - \lambda^{-1} \\ -\lambda D + \lambda^{-1} & -\lambda D - \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

a dot denotes a t -derivative, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ is an arbitrary constant having the dimension of length.

The two-component vectors $\Psi(t)$ belong to

$$\mathcal{H}' := L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad (10)$$

and the Hamiltonian H may be viewed as acting in $\mathcal{H}'$. One can easily check that H is not Hermitian with respect to the inner product of $\mathcal{H}'$, but it satisfies $H^\dagger = \sigma_3 H \sigma_3^{-1}$, where $\sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$, [28, 29]. Hence H is σ_3 -pseudo-Hermitian [8]. Furthermore, because D is positive-definite, H is a diagonalizable operator with a real spectrum. This in turn implies that it is quasi-Hermitian [9, 20]. According to [9], H is η_+ -pseudo-Hermitian for a positive-definite metric operator η_+ . Equivalently, it is Hermitian with respect to the corresponding positive-definite inner product, namely (3). The construction of η_+ requires the solution of the eigenvalue problem for H and $H^\dagger$.

The eigenvalues and a set of eigenvectors of H are given by

$$E_{\epsilon,k} := \epsilon \hbar \omega_k, \quad (11)$$

$$\Psi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}} := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} r_k^{-1} + \epsilon r_k \\ r_k^{-1} - \epsilon r_k \end{pmatrix} \phi_{\vec{k}}, \quad (12)$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$, $k := |\vec{k}|$, $\vec{k} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\omega_k := \sqrt{k^2 + \mu^2}$, $r_k := \sqrt{\lambda \omega_k}$, $\phi_{\vec{k}}$ are defined by $\phi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{x}) := (2\pi)^{-3/2} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} = \langle \vec{x} | \vec{k} \rangle$, and $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. The eigenvectors $\Psi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}$ together with

$$\Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}} := \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} r_k + \epsilon r_k^{-1} \\ r_k - \epsilon r_k^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \phi_{\vec{k}} \quad (13)$$

form a complete biorthonormal system for the Hilbert space. This means that

$$\langle \Psi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}, \Phi_{\epsilon',\vec{k}'} \rangle = \delta_{\epsilon,\epsilon'} \delta^3(\vec{k} - \vec{k}'), \quad \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k |\Psi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}} \rangle \langle \Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}| = 1,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ stands for the inner product of $\mathcal{H}'$, and for $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{H}'$, $|\xi \rangle \langle \zeta|$ is the operator defined by $|\xi \rangle \langle \zeta| \chi := \langle \zeta, \chi \rangle \xi$, for all $\chi \in \mathcal{H}'$. Similarly, one can check that indeed $\Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}$ are eigenvectors of $H^\dagger$ with the same eigenvalues, $H^\dagger \Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}} = E_{\epsilon,k} \Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}$, and that H has the following spectral resolution

$$H = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k E_{\epsilon,k} |\Psi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}} \rangle \langle \Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}|. \quad (14)$$

The positive-definite metric operator associated with the choice $\{\Psi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}, \Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}\}$ for a biorthonormal system for $\mathcal{H}'$ has the form [8, 11, 15, 34]

$$\eta_+ = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k |\Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}} \rangle \langle \Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}| = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} X^2 + X^{-2} & X^2 - X^{-2} \\ X^2 - X^{-2} & X^2 + X^{-2} \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}', \quad (15)$$

where

$$X := \sqrt{\lambda} D^{1/4}. \quad (16)$$

Note that here and throughout this paper we use the spectral resolution of D to define its powers: $D^\nu := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k (k^2 + \mu^2)^\nu |\vec{k} \rangle \langle \vec{k}|$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$.

Having obtained the positive-definite metric operator η_+ , we can compute the form of the corresponding inner product. For all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{H}'$, let $\xi^a, \zeta^a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be such that

$$\xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi^1 \\ \xi^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \zeta = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta^1 \\ \zeta^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

Then in view of (15),

$$\langle \xi, \zeta \rangle_{\eta_+} = \frac{1}{2} [\langle \xi_+ | X^2 \zeta_+ \rangle + \langle \xi_- | X^{-2} \zeta_- \rangle], \quad (18)$$

where we have defined $\xi_\pm := \xi^1 \pm \xi^2$ and $\zeta_\pm := \zeta^1 \pm \zeta^2$. If we view $\mathcal{H}'$ as a complex vector space and endow it with the inner product (18), we obtain a new inner product space whose Cauchy completion yields another Hilbert space which we denote by $\mathcal{K}$.

Next, let $\mathcal{D} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be the domain of the operator D , and $\mathcal{V}$ denote the complex vector space of solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation (5), namely

$$\mathcal{V} := \{ \psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \mid [\partial_t^2 + D]\psi(t) = 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \}. \quad (19)$$

Then, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, one can use the map $U_t : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$, defined by

$$U_t \psi := \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda\mu}} \Psi(t), \quad \forall \psi \in \mathcal{V}, \quad (20)$$

where $\Psi(t)$ is given in (8), to endow $\mathcal{V}$ with the positive-definite inner product

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) := \langle\langle U_t \psi_1, U_t \psi_2 \rangle\rangle_{\eta_+} = (4\mu\lambda)^{-1} \langle\langle \Psi_1(t), \Psi_2(t) \rangle\rangle_{\eta_+}. \quad (21)$$

Because η_+ does not depend on t , the inner product $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle_{\eta_+}$ is invariant under the dynamics generated by H , [8]. This in turn implies that the right-hand side of (21) should be t -independent. In order to see this, we substitute (8) and (18) in (21) and use (16) to derive

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \frac{1}{2\mu} \left[\langle \dot{\psi}_1(t) | D^{1/2} \psi_2(t) \rangle + \langle \dot{\psi}_1(t) | D^{-1/2} \dot{\psi}_2(t) \rangle \right]. \quad (22)$$

We can use (5) to check that the t -derivative of the right-hand side of (22) vanishes identically. Therefore, (22) provides a well-defined inner product on $\mathcal{V}$. Endowing $\mathcal{V}$ with this inner product and (Cauchy) completing the resulting inner product space one obtains a separable Hilbert space which we shall denote by $\mathcal{H}$. This is the physical Hilbert space of the relativistic QM of Klein-Gordon fields.

The inner product (22) is an example of the invariant inner products constructed in [34], and as explained there it has the following appealing properties: 1) It is not only positive-definite but relativistically invariant⁷; 2) In the nonrelativistic limit, it tends to the usual L^2 -inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$; 3) It coincides with the inner product obtained in [39] within the framework of constraint quantization⁸; 4) Its restriction to the subspace of positive-frequency Klein-Gordon fields is identical with the restriction of the indefinite Klein-Gordon inner product to this subspace.

As seen from (21), the operator U_t for any value of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a unitary operator mapping $\mathcal{H}$ to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary initial time, and $h : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be defined by

$$h := U_{t_0}^{-1} H U_{t_0}. \quad (23)$$

Then, using (8), (9) and (20), one can show that for all $\psi \in \mathcal{V}$,

$$h\psi = i\hbar\dot{\psi}, \quad (24)$$

where $\dot{\psi}$ is the element of $\mathcal{V}$ defined by

$$\dot{\psi}(t) := \frac{d}{dt} \psi(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

⁷A manifestly covariant expression for this inner product is given in [38].

⁸It is also identical with the inner product given in [31]. This will be clear after we discuss the connection to the approach of [31] in Section 4.

It is important to note that (24) is not a time-dependent Schrödinger equation determining t -dependence of $\psi(t)$. It is rather the definition of the operator h .

The time-evolution generated by h via the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \psi_t = h\psi_t \quad (25)$$

is precisely the time-translations in the space $\mathcal{V}$ of the solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation (5), i.e., if $\psi_0 = \psi_{t_0}$ is the initial value for the one-parameter family of elements ψ_t of $\mathcal{V}$, then for all $t, t' \in \mathbb{R}$, $\psi_t(t') = (e^{-i(t-t_0)h/\hbar} \psi_0)(t') = \psi_0(t' + t - t_0)$.⁹

Furthermore, using the fact that U_{t_0} is a unitary operator and that H is Hermitian with respect to the inner product (18) of $\mathcal{K}$, we can infer that h is Hermitian with respect to the inner product (21) of $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., time-translations correspond to unitary transformations of the physical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$.

Next, we respectively define $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ and $H' : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ by

$$\mathcal{U} = \rho U_{t_0} \quad (26)$$

$$H' := \mathcal{U} h \mathcal{U}^{-1} = \rho H \rho^{-1}, \quad (27)$$

where ρ is the unique positive square root of η_+ . It is not difficult to see that

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} X + X^{-1} & X - X^{-1} \\ X - X^{-1} & X + X^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} X^{-1} + X & X^{-1} - X \\ X^{-1} - X & X^{-1} + X \end{pmatrix}. \quad (28)$$

We can check that the operator ρ viewed as mapping $\mathcal{K}$ onto $\mathcal{H}'$ is a unitary transformation; using $\rho^\dagger = \rho = \sqrt{\eta_+}$, we have $\langle \rho \xi, \rho \zeta \rangle = \langle \xi, \zeta \rangle_{\eta_+}$ for all $\xi, \zeta \in \mathcal{K}$. This in turn implies that $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ is also a unitary transformation,

$$\langle \mathcal{U} \psi_1, \mathcal{U} \psi_2 \rangle = \langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \rangle, \quad \forall \psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}, \quad (29)$$

and that H' must be a Hermitian Hamiltonian operator acting in $\mathcal{H}'$.

We can compute H' by substituting (28) and (9) in (27). This leads to the remarkable result:

$$H' = \hbar \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{D} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{D} \end{pmatrix} = \hbar \sqrt{D} \sigma_3, \quad (30)$$

which is manifestly Hermitian with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ of $\mathcal{H}'$. The Hamiltonian H' is precisely the Foldy Hamiltonian [36]. Here it is obtained in an attempt to devise a QM of Klein-Gordon fields that allows for a genuine probabilistic interpretation without restricting to the subspace of positive-frequency fields.

Next, we compute the explicit form of the unitary operator $\mathcal{U}$ and its inverse. Using (26), (28), (16), and (20), we have for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\mathcal{U} \psi = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu}} \begin{pmatrix} D^{1/4} \psi(t_0) + i D^{-1/4} \dot{\psi}(t_0) \\ D^{1/4} \psi(t_0) - i D^{-1/4} \dot{\psi}(t_0) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (31)$$

⁹For a derivation of this relation and a detailed discussion of the difference between (24) and (25) see Sec. 4.2 of [35].

The fact that the arbitrary parameter λ , introduced in the two-component formulation of the Klein-Gordon equation, does not appear in (30) and (31) is remarkable. The inverse of $\mathcal{U}^{-1}$ is also easy to calculate. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{H}'$ be a two-component vector (as in (17)) with components ξ^1 and ξ^2 belonging to the domain $\mathcal{D}$ of D . Then in view of (31), $\mathcal{U}^{-1}\xi$ is the Klein-Gordon field $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying the following initial conditions.

$$\psi(t_0) = \sqrt{\mu} D^{-1/4}(\xi^1 + \xi^2), \quad \dot{\psi}(t_0) = -i\sqrt{\mu} D^{1/4}(\xi^1 - \xi^2). \quad (32)$$

Next, we recall that for any Klein-Gordon field ψ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we can express $\psi(t)$ in terms of the initial data $(\psi(t_0), \dot{\psi}(t_0))$ according to [40, 35]

$$\psi(t) = \cos[(t - t_0)D^{1/2}]\psi(t_0) + \sin[(t - t_0)D^{1/2}]D^{-1/2}\dot{\psi}(t_0). \quad (33)$$

Combining (32) and (33), we find

$$[\mathcal{U}^{-1}\xi](t) = \sqrt{\mu} D^{-1/4} \left[e^{-i(t-t_0)D^{1/2}} \xi^1 + e^{i(t-t_0)D^{1/2}} \xi^2 \right]. \quad (34)$$

In light of the above analysis, the pairs $(\mathcal{H}, h)$, $(\mathcal{K}, H)$, and $(\mathcal{H}', H')$ are mutually unitarily equivalent; they represent the same quantum system. In particular, we can identify the observables of this quantum system and explore its symmetries using any of these pairs.

3 $\mathcal{PT}$ -, $\mathcal{C}$ -, and $\mathcal{CPT}$ -Symmetries of Klein-Gordon Fields

According to [15], the generalized parity $\mathcal{P}$, time-reversal $\mathcal{T}$, and charge-conjugation $\mathcal{C}$ for a quasi-Hermitian Hamiltonian with a nondegenerate discrete spectrum are given by

$$\mathcal{P} = \sum_n (-1)^n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|, \quad (35)$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \sum_n (-1)^n |\phi_n\rangle \star \langle \phi_n|, \quad (36)$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \sum_n (-1)^n |\psi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|, \quad (37)$$

where n is a spectral label taking nonnegative integer values, $\{\psi_n, \phi_n\}$ is a complete biorthonormal system with ψ_n and ϕ_n being respectively the eigenvectors of H and $H^\dagger$, $\star$ is the complex-conjugation operator defined by, for all complex numbers z and state vectors ψ, ϕ ,

$$\star z = z^*, \quad (\star \langle \phi |) | \psi \rangle := \star \langle \phi | \psi \rangle = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle,$$

and the positive-definite metric has the form

$$\eta_+ = \sum_n |\phi_n\rangle \langle \phi_n|. \quad (38)$$

Note that as the labelling of the eigenvectors is arbitrary, so is the assignment of the signs $(-1)^n$ in (35) – (37).

For the Hamiltonian (9), there is a natural choice of a sign assignment for the eigenvectors. This is associated with the label ϵ appearing in the expression for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H . Using this sign assignment and (35) – (37), we obtain the following generalized parity, time-reversal, and charge-conjugation operators for the two-component Klein-Gordon fields.

$$\mathcal{P} := \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k \, \epsilon |\Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}\rangle \langle \Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}|, \quad (39)$$

$$\mathcal{T} := \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k \, \epsilon |\Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}\rangle \star \langle \Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}|, \quad (40)$$

$$\mathcal{C} := \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k \, \epsilon |\Psi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}\rangle \langle \Phi_{\epsilon,\vec{k}}|. \quad (41)$$

Substituting (12) and (13) in these equations and doing the necessary algebra, we find the rather remarkable result:

$$\mathcal{P} = \sigma_3, \quad \mathcal{T} = \sigma_3 \star, \quad \mathcal{PT} = \star. \quad (42)$$

Hence, the $\mathcal{PT}$ -symmetry of the Hamiltonian (9) is equivalent to the statement that it is a real operator. Similarly, we compute

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} X^2 + X^{-2} & X^2 - X^{-2} \\ -X^2 + X^{-2} & -(X^2 + X^{-2}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (43)$$

which in view of (15) is consistent with the identity $\mathcal{C} = \eta_+^{-1} \mathcal{P}$ (equivalently $\eta_+ = \mathcal{P} \mathcal{C}$.)

By construction, $\mathcal{C}$ generates a symmetry of the Hamiltonian (9). The meaning of this symmetry becomes clear, once we use the following alternative expression for $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\mathcal{C} = \hbar^{-1} D^{-1/2} H = \frac{H}{\sqrt{H^2}}. \quad (44)$$

Here we have made use of (43), (16), (9) and the fact that unlike H , H^2 is a positive-definite operator acting in $\mathcal{H}'$. This follows from the identity $H^2 = \hbar^2 D I$, where I is the 2×2 identity matrix. According to (44), $\mathcal{C}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading operator for the Hilbert space that splits it into the spans of the eigenvectors of H with positive and negative eigenvalues, respectively.

We can use the unitary operator $U_{t_0} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ to define the generalized parity, time-reversal, and charge-conjugation operators for the ordinary single-component Klein-Gordon fields. These are given by

$$\mathcal{P} := U_{t_0}^{-1} \mathcal{P} U_{t_0}, \quad \mathcal{T} := U_{t_0}^{-1} \mathcal{T} U_{t_0}, \quad \mathcal{C} := U_{t_0}^{-1} \mathcal{C} U_{t_0}. \quad (45)$$

Using the definition of the operator U_{t_0} , we may obtain expressions for the action of the operators $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ on a given Klein-Gordon field $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. More interesting are the corresponding expressions for the action of $\mathcal{PT}$ and $\mathcal{C}$, that actually generate symmetries of the Hamiltonian h . It turns out that, for all $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(\mathcal{PT}\psi)(t) = \psi(-t). \quad (46)$$

Hence PT is just the ordinary time-reversal operator, and *the PT-symmetry of h means that the order in which one performs a time-translation and a time-reversal transformation on a Klein-Gordon field is not important.*

Next, consider the subspaces $\mathcal{V}_+$ and $\mathcal{V}_-$ of $\mathcal{V}$ that are respectively spanned by the positive- and negative-energy eigenvectors of h . The elements $\psi_\pm$ of $\mathcal{V}_\pm$ are of the form

$$\psi_\pm(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3k e^{\mp i\omega_k t} f(\vec{k}) \phi_{\vec{k}} \quad (47)$$

for some $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Clearly $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_+ \oplus \mathcal{V}_-$, and restricting the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ to $\mathcal{V}_\pm$ (and completing the resulting inner product spaces) we obtain Hilbert subspaces $\mathcal{H}_\pm$ of $\mathcal{H}$. In view of (22) and (47), we can further show that

$$(\psi_+, \psi_-) = 0, \quad \forall \psi_\pm \in \mathcal{V}_\pm.$$

This is sufficient to infer that indeed $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$. The generalized charge-conjugation operator C defined by (45) is actually the grading operator associated with this orthogonal direct sum decomposition of $\mathcal{H}$, i.e., if $\psi = \psi_+ + \psi_-$ such that $\psi_\pm \in \mathcal{H}_\pm$, then

$$C\psi = \psi_+ - \psi_-. \quad (48)$$

In other words, C is the operator that decomposes the Hilbert space into its positive- and negative-energy subspaces. In view of the fact that for a complex Klein-Gordon field the positive and negative energies respectively occur for positive and negative charges, C is identical with the charge-grading operator. As a result, *the C -symmetry of h means that the charge of a Klein-Gordon field does not change sign under time-translations, and the CPT-symmetry of h is equivalent to the statement that the combined action of time-reversal and charge-grading operators commutes with any time-translation of a Klein-Gordon field.*

Next, we use the unitary operator $\rho : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ to express the generalized parity, time-reversal, and charge-conjugation operators in the Foldy representation of the Klein-Gordon fields which is based on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}'$ and the Hamiltonian H' . They are given by

$$\mathcal{P}' := \rho \mathcal{P} \rho^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{T}' := \rho \mathcal{T} \rho^{-1}, \quad \mathcal{C}' := \rho \mathcal{C} \rho^{-1}. \quad (49)$$

Again, we compute the form of the symmetry generators $\mathcal{P}'\mathcal{T}'$ and $\mathcal{C}'$. Because ρ and ρ^{-1} are real operators,

$$\mathcal{P}'\mathcal{T}' = \mathcal{P}\mathcal{T} = \star. \quad (50)$$

Moreover, using (44), (27) and (49),

$$\mathcal{C}' = \frac{H'}{\sqrt{H'^2}} = \sigma_3. \quad (51)$$

Clearly, the $\mathcal{P}'\mathcal{T}'$ -symmetry of H' is related to the fact that H' is a real operator, and the $\mathcal{C}'$ -symmetry of H' is because it is proportional to $\mathcal{C}'$. Obviously, the physical interpretation of the generalized PT - and C -symmetries is independent of the choice of the unitary-equivalent

representations of the underlying quantum system. As seen from the above analysis, the representation based on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and Hamiltonian h is useful in identifying $\mathcal{PT}$ as ordinary time-reversal operator, while the Foldy representation is useful in identifying $\mathcal{C}$ with the charge-grading operator.

4 Physical Observables and Wave Functions for Klein-Gordon Fields

In the preceding section we introduced three equivalent representations of the QM of a Klein-Gordon field. These corresponded to the following choices for the pair (Hilbert space, Hamiltonian): $(\mathcal{H}, h)$, $(\mathcal{K}, H)$, and $(\mathcal{H}', H')$. We can study the physical observables of this quantum theory using any of these representations. We will employ the usual notion of quantum mechanical observables, namely identify them with Hermitian operators acting in the Hilbert space. Because $\mathcal{H}' = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the Foldy representation $(\mathcal{H}', H')$ is more convenient for the construction of the observables. Once this is done, we may use the unitary map $\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ to obtain the form of the observables in the representation $(\mathcal{H}, h)$.

First, we introduce the following set of basic observables (in the Foldy representation) that can be used to construct others.

$$\vec{X}_\mu := \vec{x} \otimes \sigma_\mu, \quad \vec{P}_\mu := \vec{p} \otimes \sigma_\mu, \quad S_\mu := 1 \otimes \sigma_\mu. \quad (52)$$

Here, $\mu \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, $\vec{x}$, $\vec{p}$, and 1 are the position, momentum, and identity operators acting in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\sigma_0 = I$ is the 2×2 identity matrix, and σ_μ with $\mu \neq 0$ are the Pauli matrices: $\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$. In the following, we will adopt the abbreviated notation of not writing ‘ $1 \otimes$ ’ explicitly. In particular, we will identify S_μ with σ_μ .

Clearly, $\vec{X}_0$ and σ_3 form a maximal set of commuting operators. In particular, we can use their common ‘eigenvectors’ $(\xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}})$ to construct a basis of $\mathcal{H}'$. These are defined, for all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\epsilon \in \{-, +\}$, by

$$\xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}} := |\vec{x}\rangle \otimes e_\epsilon, \quad (53)$$

where $e_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $e_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $|\vec{x}\rangle$ are the δ -function normalized position kets satisfying

$$\vec{x}|\vec{x}\rangle = \vec{x}|\vec{x}\rangle, \quad \langle x|x'\rangle = \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'), \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x |\vec{x}\rangle \langle \vec{x}| = 1. \quad (54)$$

It is easy to see that indeed $\vec{X}_0 \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}} = \vec{x} \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}$ and $\sigma_3 \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}} = \epsilon \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}$. Furthermore,

$$\langle \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \xi_{\epsilon', \vec{x}'} \rangle = \delta_{\epsilon, \epsilon'} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'), \quad \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x |\xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}\rangle \langle \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}| = \sigma_0. \quad (55)$$

We can express any two-component vector $\Psi' \in \mathcal{H}'$ in the basis $\{\xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}\}$ according to

$$\Psi' = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \quad (56)$$

where $f : \{-, +\} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the wave function associated with Ψ' in the position-representation, i.e.,

$$f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) := \langle \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \Psi' \rangle. \quad (57)$$

As is well-known from nonrelativistic QM, one can also express the observables as linear operators acting on the wave functions f . For example, let $O' : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$ be a Hermitian operator defining a physical observable in the Foldy representation and $\Psi' = \mathcal{U}\psi$ describe a Klein-Gordon field $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ in this representation. Then

$$O'\Psi' = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x [\hat{O}f(\epsilon, \vec{x})] \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \quad (58)$$

where

$$\hat{O}f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) := \sum_{\epsilon'=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x' \hat{O}(\epsilon, \vec{x}; \epsilon', \vec{x}') f(\epsilon', \vec{x}'), \quad (59)$$

$$\hat{O}(\epsilon, \vec{x}; \epsilon', \vec{x}') := \langle \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, O' \xi_{\epsilon', \vec{x}'} \rangle. \quad (60)$$

Next, we introduce the operators

$$\vec{x}_\mu := \mathcal{U}^{-1} \vec{X}_\mu \mathcal{U}, \quad \vec{p}_\mu := \mathcal{U}^{-1} \vec{P}_\mu \mathcal{U}, \quad s_\mu := \mathcal{U}^{-1} \sigma_\mu \mathcal{U}, \quad (61)$$

that act in $\mathcal{H}$, and define the Klein-Gordon fields

$$\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}} := \mathcal{U}^{-1} \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}. \quad (62)$$

Clearly, (61) describe the same physical observables as (52), albeit in the representation $(\mathcal{H}, h)$. The fields (62) form a complete orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$; one can easily check using (29), (55), and (62) that

$$(\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \psi_{\epsilon', \vec{x}'}) = \delta_{\epsilon, \epsilon'} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'), \quad \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x |\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}|(\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}) = s_0. \quad (63)$$

Note that here for all $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$, the operator $|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_2|$ is defined by $|\psi_1\rangle\langle\psi_2|\psi_3 := (\psi_2, \psi_3)\psi_1$, for any $\psi_3 \in \mathcal{H}$, and that s_0 coincides with the identity operator for $\mathcal{H}$.

Again, any Klein-Gordon field ψ may be expressed in the basis $\{\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}\}$ in terms of the wave functions (57) according to

$$\psi = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) \psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}. \quad (64)$$

This follows from (63) and $(\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \psi) = \langle \mathcal{U}\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \mathcal{U}\psi \rangle = \langle \xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \Psi' \rangle = f(\epsilon, \vec{x})$. Similarly, any Hermitian operator $o : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ associated with a physical observable satisfies

$$o\psi = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x [\hat{O}f(\epsilon, \vec{x})] \psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \quad (65)$$

where $\hat{O}f(\epsilon, \vec{x})$ is defined by (59) and

$$\hat{O}(\epsilon, \vec{x}; \epsilon', \vec{x}') := (\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, o\psi_{\epsilon', \vec{x}'}). \quad (66)$$

The wave functions f also provide a description of the quantum system associated with the Klein-Gordon fields. To see this, first we use (64) and (63) to compute the inner product of a pair of Klein-Gordon fields, $\psi, \gamma \in \mathcal{H}$, in terms of their wave functions $f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) := (\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \psi)$ and $g(\epsilon, \vec{x}) := (\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \gamma)$. This yields

$$(\psi, \gamma) = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x f(\epsilon, \vec{x})^* g(\epsilon, \vec{x}).$$

More generally, for any Hermitian operator $o : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ describing an observable, we have

$$(\psi, o\gamma) = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x f(\epsilon, \vec{x})^* \hat{O}g(\epsilon, \vec{x}) = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d^3x [\hat{O}f(\epsilon, \vec{x})]^* g(\epsilon, \vec{x}). \quad (67)$$

This shows that the wave functions f may be viewed as elements of $\mathcal{H}'$, and the observables may be described by Hermitian operators $\hat{O}$ acting on the wave functions. For example, the action of $\vec{x}_0$, $\vec{p}_0$, and s_3 on ψ corresponds to the action of the operators $\hat{x}_0$, $\hat{p}_0$, and $\hat{s}_3$ on f , where

$$\hat{x}_0 f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) = \vec{x} f(\epsilon, \vec{x}), \quad \hat{p}_0 f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) = -i\hbar \vec{\nabla} f(\epsilon, \vec{x}), \quad \hat{s}_3 f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) = \epsilon f(\epsilon, \vec{x}). \quad (68)$$

Similarly, the action of the Hamiltonian h on ψ corresponds to the action,

$$\hat{h} f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) = \hbar \epsilon \sqrt{-\nabla^2 + \mu^2} f(\epsilon, \vec{x}), \quad (69)$$

of the operator

$$\hat{h} := \hat{s}_3 \sqrt{\hat{p}_0^2 + m^2} \quad (70)$$

on the wave function f .

Having expressed h in terms of the wave functions f , we can also obtain the explicit form of the Schrödinger equation (25) as a partial differential equation for f . The result is

$$i\hbar \partial_t f(\epsilon, \vec{x}; t) = \epsilon \sqrt{-\hbar^2 \nabla^2 + m^2} f(\epsilon, \vec{x}; t), \quad (71)$$

where $f(\epsilon, \vec{x}; t) = (\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}, \psi_t)$ is the wave function for the one-parameter family of the (time-translated) Klein-Gordon fields ψ_t . Furthermore, applying $i\partial_t$ to both side (71), we can check that the wave functions f also satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation:

$$[\partial_t^2 - \nabla^2 + \mu^2] f(\epsilon, \vec{x}; t) = 0. \quad (72)$$

We wish to emphasize that we have obtained this equation by iterating the Schrödinger equation (71). Unlike the Klein-Gordon equation, the solutions of (71) are uniquely determined by a single initial condition.

Next, recall that because the time-reversal operator (50) acting in $\mathcal{H}'$ commutes with $\vec{X}_0$, the eigenvectors $\xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}$ may be taken to be real. In this case the action of the time-reversal operator $T = \text{PT}$ on any $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ is equivalent to the complex-conjugation of the associated wave-function

f . Denoting by $\hat{T}$ the time-reversal operator acting on f , we have $\hat{T}f(\epsilon, \vec{x}) = f(\epsilon, \vec{x})^*$. Similarly, we can identify the operator $\hat{s}_3$ with the charge-grading operator acting on the wave functions.

The description of the QM of the Klein-Gordon fields using the wave functions $f(\epsilon, \vec{x})$ is identical with the one offered in [31]. The two approaches differ in that ours is motivated and relies on the construction of a positive-definite, relativistic invariant, and conserved inner product on the solution space of the Klein-Gordon equation whereas that of [31] rests on the canonical quantization of the associated classical relativistic particle and obtaining an appropriate representation of the algebra of basic observables. The fact that both approaches lead to unitary-equivalent pictures is quite remarkable and most comforting.

As we shall see in the following section $f(\epsilon, \vec{x})$ is the position wave function for a state vector (field) $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ where the position operator is precisely the Newton-Wigner operator [27] (for $\epsilon = +1$ and its analog for $\epsilon = -1$). The latter is well-known not to be relativistically covariant. Hence the description of the system using the wave functions $f(\epsilon, \vec{x})$ is a non-covariant description of a quantum system that does admit a unitary-equivalent covariant description, namely the one offered by the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and the Hamiltonian h .

5 Position Operators and Localized States

In canonical approach to QM, the observables of a quantum system are described by Hermitian operators acting in the Hilbert space. The physical interpretation of these operators, however, rests on the quantization scheme, i.e., the way in which the Hermitian operators are related to the classical observables. The classical system associated with the Klein-Gordon fields is a relativistic free particle whose energy is given by $E = \pm\sqrt{p^2 + m^2}$.¹⁰ Performing canonical quantization [41] on this system, i.e., setting $\vec{p} \rightarrow -i\hbar\vec{\nabla}$, one finds $E \rightarrow \pm\hbar\sqrt{-\nabla^2 + \mu^2}$. In view of (69) and (70), this implies that the canonical quantization is relevant to the description of the Klein-Gordon fields ψ in terms of their wave functions f .¹¹ As a result, the operators $\hat{\vec{x}}_0$ and $\hat{\vec{p}}_0$ that clearly satisfy the canonical commutation relations may be identified with the position and momentum operators acting in the space of the wave functions f . This in turn means that the operators $\vec{X}_0$ and $\vec{P}_0$ in the Foldy representation and the operators $\vec{x}_0$ and $\vec{p}_0$ in the $(\mathcal{H}, h)$ -representation also describe the position and momentum observables. In particular, the basis vectors $\xi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}$ and $\psi_{\epsilon, \vec{x}}$ determine the states of the system with a definite position value $\vec{x}$; they are *localized* in space. They also have definite charge.

Next, we obtain the explicit form of the position operator $\vec{x}_0$ that is defined to act on the Klein-Gordon fields $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$. Note that $\vec{\chi} := \vec{x}_0\psi$ is a three-component field whose components satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation (5). It is uniquely determined in terms of its initial data $(\vec{\chi}(t_0), \dot{\vec{\chi}}(t_0))$ for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. We can compute the latter using (61), (31), (32), and the identities: $D = \hbar^{-2}(\vec{p}^2 + m^2)$ and $[F(\vec{p}), \vec{x}] = -i\hbar\vec{\nabla}F(\vec{p})$, where F is a differentiable function.

¹⁰Note that the negative sign is not ruled out by special relativity.

¹¹This is actually the case as shown in [31].

This yields

$$\vec{\chi}(t_0) = \vec{\mathcal{X}}\psi(t_0), \quad \dot{\vec{\chi}}(t_0) = \vec{\mathcal{X}}^\dagger \dot{\psi}(t_0), \quad (73)$$

where

$$\vec{\mathcal{X}} := \vec{x} + \frac{i\hbar \vec{p}}{2(\vec{p}^2 + m^2)}. \quad (74)$$

We can employ (33) to express $\vec{\chi}(t)$ in terms of (73). The resulting expression is

$$\vec{\chi}(t) = \cos[(t - t_0)D^{1/2}]\vec{\chi}(t_0) + \sin[(t - t_0)D^{1/2}]D^{-1/2}\dot{\vec{\chi}}(t_0), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (75)$$

Now, substituting (73) and (74) in this equation, using the power series expansion of sin and cos, and doing the necessary calculations, we find

$$[\vec{x}_0\psi](t) = \vec{\chi}(t) = \vec{x}\psi(t) - \vec{q} \left[J_1(t - t_0)\psi(t_0) + J_2(t - t_0)\dot{\psi}(t_0) \right], \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (76)$$

where

$$\vec{q} := \frac{i\hbar \vec{p}}{2(\vec{p}^2 + m^2)}, \quad (77)$$

and for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(\tau) &:= -\cos(\tau D^{1/2}) - 2\tau \sin(\tau D^{1/2})D^{1/2} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^\ell (4\ell - 1)}{(2\ell)!} \right] \tau^{2\ell} D^\ell, \\ J_2(\tau) &:= 2\tau \cos(\tau D^{1/2}) - \sin(\tau D^{1/2})D^{-1/2} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(-1)^\ell (4\ell + 1)}{(2\ell + 1)!} \right] \tau^{2\ell+1} D^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

In addition to being a Hermitian operator acting in the physical Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, the position operator $\vec{x}_0$ has the following notable properties.

1. In view of (73) and (74), it coincides with the Newton-Wigner position operator [27, 42], if it is restricted to the positive-frequency Klein-Gordon fields. Indeed, (76) provides an explicit form of the Newton-Wigner position operator that, to the best of our knowledge, has not been previously given.
2. It respects the charge superselection rule [43, 44], for it commutes with the charge-grading operator $C = s_3$. This is easily seen by noting that $\vec{x}_0$ and s_3 are respectively obtained via a similarity transformation (61) from $\vec{X}_0$ and $\vec{S}_3 = \sigma_3$, and that according to (52), $[\vec{X}_0, \sigma_3] = 0$.
3. It has the correct nonrelativistic limit: as $c \rightarrow \infty$, $[\vec{x}_0\psi](t) \rightarrow \vec{x}\psi(t)$.

We can similarly evaluate the action of the momentum operator $\vec{p}_0$ on ψ . Because $\vec{P}_0$ and ρ commute, in view of (61) and (26), we have $\vec{p}_0 = U_{t_0}^{-1} \vec{P}_0 U_{t_0}$. This in turn implies $[\vec{p}\psi](t) = \vec{p}\psi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore, in view of the fact that for every differentiable element φ of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with nonzero gradient, $\vec{q}\varphi$ is along $\vec{p}\varphi$, we can show that the angular momentum operator $\vec{L} := \vec{x}_0 \times \vec{p}_0$ acts on ψ according to $[\vec{L}\psi](t) = \vec{x} \times \vec{p}\psi(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, unlike the

position operator $\vec{x}_0$, the (linear) momentum $\vec{p}_0$ and the angular momentum $\vec{L}$ operators have the same expressions as in nonrelativistic QM.

Having obtained the position and momentum observables for Klein-Gordon fields, we can also introduce a set of coherent states by requiring that they are the eigenstates of the annihilation operator $\vec{a} := \sqrt{\frac{k}{2\hbar}} (\vec{x}_0 + ik^{-1}\vec{p}_0)$, where $k = m\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ is the characteristic oscillator constant. Because both $\vec{x}_0$ and $\vec{p}_0$ commute with the charge-grading operator s_3 , so does the annihilation operator $\vec{a}$. This suggests that we can introduce coherent states that have a definite charge. The corresponding state vectors $|\vec{z}, \epsilon\rangle$ are defined as the common eigenvectors of $\vec{a}$ and s_3 , i.e., $\vec{a}|\vec{z}, \epsilon\rangle = \vec{z}|\vec{z}, \epsilon\rangle$ and $s_3|\vec{z}, \epsilon\rangle = \epsilon|\vec{z}, \epsilon\rangle$, where $\vec{z} \in \mathbb{C}^3$ and $\epsilon \in \{-, +\}$. By construction, the coherent states represented by the vectors $|\vec{z}, \epsilon\rangle$ are free from the subtleties associated with the nontrivial charge structure of the conventional relativistic coherent states [44].

6 Conclusion

In this article, we have explored a concrete realization of the notions of generalized parity ($\mathcal{P}$), time-reversal ($\mathcal{T}$), and charge-conjugation ($\mathcal{C}$) operators for Klein-Gordon fields, and showed that the generalized parity-time-reversal and charge-conjugation symmetries that arise in pseudo-Hermitian QM have well-known physical meanings in relativistic QM; they simply mean that the time-translations of a Klein-Gordon field commute with the time-reversal and charge-grading operators. In particular, $\mathcal{C}$ is not the usual charge-conjugation operator of relativistic QM, for it does not map a positive-frequency field to a negative-frequency field. Rather, it is a grading operator that splits the Hilbert space into the subspaces of positive- and negative-frequency fields. Being a Hermitian operator acting the Hilbert space of the system $\mathcal{C}$ is an observable. Its classical analog and its significance in the study of Klein-Gordon fields were initially noted in [31] and subsequently employed in [32, 33].

The theory of pseudo-Hermitian operators that was initially developed for the purpose of making sense of PT -symmetric QM provides an invaluable tool for devising a genuine quantum mechanical description of Klein-Gordon fields. It allows for an explicit construction of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of the solutions of the Klein-Gordon equation. It yields a simple description of the physical observables in terms of Hermitian operators acting in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ or equivalently $\mathcal{H}' = L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. It leads to the introduction of a set of wave functions f that uniquely determine the Klein-Gordon fields ψ and at the same time satisfy a Schrödinger equation.¹²

The quantum theory of Klein-Gordon fields outlined in this article shares almost all the properties of ordinary nonrelativistic quantum systems. It provides a simple construction of a position operator that fulfills the requirements of the charge-superselection rule and allows for the identification of a set of relativistic localized and coherent states. Restricting to the

¹²This may be viewed as an interesting link between relativistic and nonrelativistic QM on the one hand, and in view of the results of [31] the link to one-particle sector of the corresponding quantum field theory on the other hand.

space of positive-frequency Klein-Gordon field, this position operator and consequently the corresponding localized states coincide with those obtained by Newton and Wigner [27] through their axiomatic construction.

The quantum theory developed here is relativistic in nature, for the inner product of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and consequently the transition and scattering amplitudes are invariant under Lorentz transformations. It also has the QM of a free particle as its nonrelativistic limit. In particular, the Hilbert space, the Hamiltonian, and the basic position and momentum operators tend to their well-known nonrelativistic analogues as one takes $c \rightarrow \infty$.¹³

Finally, we note that the approach pursued in this article can be easily generalized to Klein-Gordon fields interacting with a time-independent magnetic field. This amounts to a simple redefinition of the operator D from (6) to $[D\psi(t)](\vec{x}) := [-(\vec{\nabla} - \vec{A}(\vec{x}))^2 + \mu^2]\psi(t, \vec{x})$, where $\vec{A}$ is a corresponding vector potential. In view of the general theory developed in [35], one may attempt to treat the case of time-dependent electromagnetic fields [38].

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Ali Ülger for a useful discussion and Farhad Zamani for pointing out a terminological error made in the draft of this article. This work has been supported by the Turkish Academy of Sciences in the framework of the Young Researcher Award Program (EA-TÜBA-GEBİP/2001-1-1).

References

- [1] W. Pauli, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **15**, 175 (1943).
- [2] P. A. M. Dirac, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **180**, 1 (1942).
- [3] E. C. G. Sudarshan, *Phys. Rev.* **123**, 2183 (1961);
T. D. Lee and G. C. Wick, *Nucl. Phys B* **9**, 209 (1969).
- [4] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243 (1998);
C. M. Bender, S. Boettcher, and P. N. Meisenger, *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 2201 (1999).
- [5] P. Dorey, C. Dunning and R. Tateo, *J. Phys. A* **34**, 5679 (2001);
K. C. Shin, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **229**, 543 (2002).
- [6] F. M. Fernández, R. Guardiola, J. Ros, and M. Znojil, *J. Phys. A* **31**, 10105 (1998);
F. Cannata, G. Junker, and J. Trost, *Phys. Lett. A* **246**, 219 (1998);
E. Delabaere and F. Pham, *Phys. Lett A* **250**, 25 and 29 (1998);
C. M. Bender, G. V. Dunne, and P. N. Meisenger, *Phys. Lett. A* **252**, 272 (1999);
C. M. Bender and G. V. Dunne, *J. Math. Phys.* **40**, 4616 (1999);
M. Znojil, *J. Phys. A* **32**, 7419 (1999);
E. Delabaere and D. T. Trinh, *J. Phys. A* **33**, 8771 (2000);

¹³This is best seen in the description of the theory based on the wave functions f .

- A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, Phys. Lett. A **272**, 53 (2000);
B. Bagchi, F. Cannata, and C. Quesne, Phys. Lett. A **269**, 79(2000);
M. Znojil and M. Tater, J. Phys. A **34**, 1793 (2001);
B. Basu-Mallick and B. P. Mandal, Phys. Lett. A **284**, 231 (2001);
M. Znojil, Phys. Lett. A **285**, 7 (2001);
B. Bagchi, S. Mallik, and C. Quesne, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16**, 2859 (2001);
C. M. Bender, G. V. Dunne, P. N. Meisenger, and M. Şimşek, Phys. Lett. A **281**, 311 (2001);
Z. Yan and C. R. Handy, J. Phys. A **34**, 9907 (2001);
Z. Ahmed, Phys. Lett. A **282**, 343 (2001); **286**, 231 (2001).
- [7] R. Kretschmer and L. Szymanowski, preprint: quant-ph/0105054;
B. Bagchi, C. Quresne, and M. Znojil, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **16**, 2047 (2001);
G. S. Japaridze, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **35**, 1709 (2002).
- [8] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 205 (2002).
- [9] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 2814 (2002).
- [10] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys., **43**, 3944 (2002).
- [11] A. Mostafazadeh, Nucl. Phys. B **640**, 419 (2002).
- [12] A. Mostafazadeh, Mod. Phys. Lett. A, **17**, 1973 (2002).
- [13] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys., **43**, 6343 (2002); Erratum: **44**, 943 (2003).
- [14] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A **36**, 7081 (2003).
- [15] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Math. Phys. **44**, 974 (2003).
- [16] A. Mostafazadeh and A. Batal, J. Phys. A **37**, 11645 (2004);
A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A **38**, 6557 (2005).
- [17] Z. Ahmed, Phys. Lett. A, **290**, 19 (2001); ibid **294**, 287 (2002).
- [18] L. Solombrino, J. Math. Phys., **43**, 5439 (2002).
- [19] G. Sclarici and L. Solombrino, J. Math. Phys., **44**, 4450 (2003).
- [20] F. G. Scholtz, H. B. Geyer, and F. J. W. Hahne, Ann. Phys. **213**, 74 (1992).
- [21] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Functional Analysis*, vol. I (Academic Press, San Diego, 1980).
- [22] R. Kretschmer and L. Szymanowski, Phys. Lett. A **325**, 112 (2004).
- [23] Z. Ahmed and S. R. Jain, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen **36**, 9711 (2003);
Z. Ahmed, Phys. Lett. A **310**, 139 (2003).
- [24] C. M. Bender, D. C. Brody and H. F. Jones, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 270401 (2002).
- [25] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A **38**, 3213 (2005).

- [26] K. Aghababaei Samani and A. Mostafazadeh, Nucl. Phys. B **595**, 467 (2001).
- [27] T. D. Newton and E. P. Wigner, Rev. Mod. Phys. **21**, 400 (1949).
- [28] H. Feshbach and F. Villars, Rev. Mod. Phys. **30**, 24 (1958).
- [29] W. Greiner, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
- [30] S. R. de Groot and L. G. Suttorp, *Foundations of Electrodynamics* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972).
- [31] D. M. Gitman and I. V. Tyutin, Class. Quantum Grav. **7**, 2131 (1990).
- [32] S. P. Gavrilov and D. M. Gitman, Class. Quantum Grav. **17**, L133 (2000) and Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **15**, 4499 (2000).
- [33] A. Saa, Class. Quantum Grav. **13**, 553 (1996).
- [34] A. Mostafazadeh, Class. Quantum Grav. **20**, 155 (2003).
- [35] A. Mostafazadeh, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **309**, 1 (2004).
- [36] K. M. Case, Phys. Rev. **95**, 1323 (1954);
L. L. Foldy, Phys. Rev. **102**, 568 (1956).
- [37] A. Mostafazadeh, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **31**, 7827 (1998).
- [38] A. Mostafazadeh and F. Zamani, preprint: quant-ph/0602151, to appear in Ann. Phys. (N.Y.).
- [39] P. P. Woodard, Class. Quantum. Grav. **10**, 483 (1993);
J. B. Hartle and D. Marolf, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 6247 (1997);
F. Embacher, Hadronic J. **21**, 337 (1998);
F. S. G. Von Zuben, J. Math. Phys. **41**, 6093 (2000);
J. J. Halliwell and J. Thorwart, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 124018 (2001).
- [40] S. A. Fulling, *Aspects of Quantum Field Theory in Curved Space-Time* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989).
- [41] P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (Oxford Univerity Press, Oxford, 1958).
- [42] A. Sankaranarayanan and R. H. Good, Jr., Phys. Rev. **140**, B 509 (1965).
- [43] G. C. Wick, A. S. Wightman and E. P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. **88**, 101 (1952).
- [44] B. I. Lev, A. A. Semenov, C. V. Usenko, and J. R. Klauder, Phys. Rev. A, **66**, 022115 (2002).